



County of Los Angeles CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE

713 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012
(213) 974-1101
<http://ceo.lacounty.gov>

WILLIAM T FUJIOKA
Chief Executive Officer

April 1, 2008

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Los Angeles
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
500 West Temple Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Dear Supervisors:

**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS: MALIBU GARBAGE DISPOSAL DISTRICT
(SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT 3)
(3 VOTES)**

**IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT YOUR BOARD ACTING AS THE GOVERNING BODY
OF THE MALIBU GARBAGE DISPOSAL DISTRICT:**

1. Consider the Negative Declaration for this project, find that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment, find that the Negative Declaration reflects the independent judgment of the County, and approve the Negative Declaration.
2. Award the contract for automated collection, transportation, disposal of refuse, and the separate automated collection and management of recyclable materials and green waste from all residences, multifamily residences, businesses, commercial establishments, and industrial establishments within the Malibu Garbage Disposal District in an annual sum not to exceed \$898,470.60 plus \$1,700 for special collection services for a total annual contract amount of \$900,170.60 to Universal Waste Systems, Inc., located in Santa Fe Springs, California. This contract will be for a term of seven years commencing on July 1, 2008, with three 1-year renewal options, not to exceed a total contract period of ten years.
3. Authorize the Acting Director of Public Works or his designee to increase the annual contract amount up to an additional 15 percent of the annual contract sum for any unforeseen, additional work in accordance with the terms of the contract, to provide for any increase in unit counts based on the County Assessor's tax roll, which determines contractor's annual compensation, and to

Board of Supervisors
GLORIA MOLINA
First District

YVONNE B. BURKE
Second District

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY
Third District

DON KNABE
Fourth District

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH
Fifth District

adjust the maximum contract sum based on the adjustment of the initial monthly unit rate of \$24.97 up to a total maximum adjustment amount of 30 percent of this initial monthly unit rate over seven-year term of the contract to allow for cost-of-living adjustments, fuel cost adjustments, and/or solid waste facility fees.

4. Authorize the Acting Director of Public Works or his designee to execute the contract; to renew the contract for each additional renewal option if, in the opinion of the Acting Director of Public Works, the contractor has successfully performed during the previous contract period and the services are still required; to approve and execute amendments to incorporate necessary changes within the scope of work; and to suspend work if, in the opinion of the Acting Director of Public Works, it is in the best interest of the County to do so.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

The purpose of the recommended action is to provide automated collection, transportation, disposal, and management of refuse and the separate automated collection and management of recyclable materials (e.g., newspapers, metal cans, etc.) and green waste (e.g., lawn clippings, etc.) generated by all residences, multifamily residences, businesses, commercial establishments, and industrial establishments in the Malibu Garbage Disposal District (District).

Collection and proper management of solid waste generated within the County's seven garbage disposal districts are provided by private sector vendors under contract with the districts. The current contract for these services in the District expires on June 30, 2008. The recommended contract is necessary to continue to meet the refuse, recyclable, and green waste collection needs for the District's residents and business establishments.

The District encompasses portions of the City of Malibu and portions of the County unincorporated areas. The City of Malibu has been consulted and kept informed of the contract specifications, conditions, and terms and they concur with the recommendation to award the contract to Universal Waste Systems, Inc., located in Santa Fe Springs, California.

Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals

The Countywide Strategic Plan directs that we provide Service Excellence (Goal 1), Organizational Effectiveness (Goal 3), Fiscal Responsibility (Goal 4), Children and Families' Well-Being (Goal 5), and Community Services (Goal 6). The contractor who has the specialized expertise in providing these services in an accurate, efficient, timely, and responsive manner will support the Department of Public Works (Public Works) in meeting these goals.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

There will be no impact to the County General Fund. Based on the refuse unit and the contractor's monthly fees of \$74,872.55 for refuse, recyclable, and green waste automated collection and disposal/management services, annual payments to the contractor will be \$898,470.60 plus \$1,700 for special collection services for a total annual amount of \$900,170.60 plus 15 percent of the annual contract sum for any unforeseen, additional work within the scope of work of the contract, if required, and to provide for any increase in refuse unit counts based on the County Assessor's tax roll, which determines contractor's annual compensation. In addition, the initial monthly unit rate of \$24.97 may be increased up to 30 percent over the term of the contract to allow for annual cost-of-living adjustments in accordance with County policy, fuel adjustments, and/or solid waste facility fees adjustments in accordance within the terms of the contract.

Awarding this contract will necessitate an increase in the current annual service fee in order to continue to meet the District's operating costs. The estimated revenues from the service fee at the current rate, together with projected property tax revenues, will not be sufficient to finance this recommended contract and maintain a reserve balance at recommended levels, through Fiscal Year 2008-09. The service fee assessed on the property tax bill is currently \$120 per year (\$10 per month) per refuse unit and has been in effect since 1991. Subject to your Board's approval the recommended service fee of \$180 per year (\$15 per month) per refuse unit will be in effect beginning in the Fiscal Year 2008-09 after a 45-day notification period to the property owners followed by a public hearing pursuant to Proposition 218. An ordinance amending Title 20 – Utilities of the Los Angeles County Code to increase the annual garbage collection and disposal service fee for the District is being prepared and will be brought before your Board during an upcoming Public Hearing prior to June 2008.

Upon your Board's approval of the increased refuse unit fee, financing for the additional automated contract cost will be included in the District's Fiscal Year 2008-09 Final Proposed Budget. Funds to finance the contract's future and optional years will be requested through the annual budget process.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

The contract will be in the form previously reviewed and approved by County Counsel (Attachment A). The recommended contract with Universal Waste Systems, Inc., was solicited on an open competitive basis and is in accordance with applicable Federal, State, and County requirements. The contractor is in compliance with the Chief Executive Officer's and your Board's requirements.

The contract contains terms and conditions supporting your Board's ordinances, policies, and programs, including but not limited to: County's Greater Avenues for Independence and General Relief Opportunities for Work Programs (GAIN and GROW), Board Policy No. 5.050; Contract Language to Assist in Placement of Displaced County Workers, Board Policy No. 5.110; Reporting of Improper Solicitations, Board Policy No. 5.060; Notice to Contract Employees of Newborn Abandonment Law (Safely Surrendered Baby Law), Board Policy No. 5.135; Contractor Employee Jury Service Program, Los Angeles County Code, Chapter 2.203; Notice to Employees Regarding the Federal Earned Income Credit (Federal Income Tax Law, Internal Revenue Service Notice 1015); Contractor Responsibility and Debarment, Los Angeles County Code Chapter 2.202; and the Los Angeles County's Child Support Compliance Program, Los Angeles County Code, Chapter 2.200; and the standard Board-directed clauses that provide for contract termination or renegotiation.

Data regarding the bidders' minority participation is on file with Public Works. The contractor was selected upon final analysis and consideration without regard to race, creed, gender, or color.

Proof of the required Comprehensive General and Automobile Liability insurance policies, naming the County as additional insured, and evidence of Workers' Compensation insurance will be obtained from the contractor before any work is assigned.

Pursuant to the applicable memorandum of understanding, the Invitation for Bids (IFB) for this contracted service was submitted on October 4, 2007, to the appropriate union for review. The union has not asked to meet with Public Works regarding this solicitation.

Public Works has evaluated and determined that the Living Wage Program (Los Angeles County Code Chapter 2.201) does not apply to the recommended contract, which is not subject to Proposition A as authority to contract for this service is expressly provided by Public Resources Code Section 49019. County Counsel concurs with this determination.

The contract includes a cost-of-living adjustment provision in accordance with your Board Policy, which was approved on January 29, 2002.

This contract does contain a provision for fuel and/or disposal fee adjustments in accordance with the terms of the contract.

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION

No environmental impact will result from this project, as evidenced by the attached Negative Declaration (Attachment B). Based on a review of this project, the Department of Fish and Game has determined that for purposes of the assessment of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) filing fees [F&G Code 711.4(c)] the project has no potential effect on fish, wildlife and habitat, and the project does not require payment of a CEQA filing fee.

CONTRACTING PROCESS

On October 4, 2007, Public Works solicited bids from 206 independent contractors and community business enterprises to accomplish this work. Also, a notice of the IFB was placed on the County's bid website (Attachment C), and an advertisement was placed in the *Los Angeles Times*.

On November 19, 2007, two bids were received. It is recommended that this contract be awarded to the lowest, responsible, and responsive bidder, Universal Waste Systems, Inc. The contractor has met all the minimum qualifications in accordance with the contract's specifications.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)

The award of this contract will not result in the displacement of any County employees as this service is presently contracted with the private sector.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
April 1, 2008
Page 6

CONCLUSION

Please return one adopted copy of this letter to the Department of Public Works,
Administrative Services Division.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'W. T. Fujioka', with a stylized flourish at the end.

WILLIAM T FUJIOKA
Chief Executive Officer

WTF:DDE
GZ:dw

Attachments (3)

- c: County Counsel
Department of Public Works (Environmental Programs)

SAMPLE AGREEMENT FOR
MALIBU GARBAGE DISPOSAL DISTRICT

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into this ____ day of _____, 2008, by and between the County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors, acting as the governing body of the MALIBU GARBAGE DISPOSAL DISTRICT (hereinafter referred to as DISTRICT) and UNIVERSAL WASTE SYSTEMS, INC., a Corporation (hereinafter referred to as CONTRACTOR).

WITNESSETH

FIRST: The CONTRACTOR, for the consideration hereinafter set forth and the acceptance by the DISTRICT of the CONTRACTOR'S bid filed with the DISTRICT on November 19, 2007, hereby agrees to provide services as described in the attached specifications for services of collecting/disposing of refuse and for collecting/managing recyclable material and green waste from all residences, single-family and multifamily residences, businesses, commercial establishments, and industrial establishments within the DISTRICT as described herein, but not limited to, Exhibit A, Scope of Work.

SECOND: This AGREEMENT, together with Exhibit A, Scope of Work; Exhibit B, Service Contract General Requirements; Exhibit C, Internal Revenue Service Notice 1015; Exhibit D, Safely Surrendered Baby Law Posters; Exhibit E, Maps of Malibu Garbage Disposal District; Exhibit F, Maps of Street Sweeping Routes for the Malibu Garbage Disposal District; Exhibit G, Solid Waste Monitoring and Reporting Forms; Exhibit H, Complaint Log; Exhibit I, Bond for Faithful Performance; Exhibit J, Los Angeles County Code, Title 20, Chapter 20.90, Part 9, Malibu Garbage Disposal District; Exhibit K, Assessor Parcels and Refuse Unit, Malibu Disposal District; Exhibit L, Disposal and Diversion Quantities for 2006; the CONTRACTOR'S bid, all attached hereto; the Invitation for Bids; and Addenda to the Invitation for Bids, all of which are incorporated herein by reference, are agreed by the DISTRICT and the CONTRACTOR to constitute an integral part of the Contract Documents.

THIRD: The DISTRICT agrees, in consideration of satisfactory performance of the foregoing services in strict accordance with this Contract's specifications to the satisfaction of the County Director of Public Works (Director) to pay the CONTRACTOR pursuant to the Schedule of Prices set forth in the bid and attached hereto as Form PW-2, an amount not to exceed \$900,170.60 per year (Maximum Contract Sum), or such greater amount as the Board may approve.

FOURTH: This Contract's initial term shall be for a period of seven years commencing on July 1, 2008. At the sole discretion of the DISTRICT, this Contract may be extended in increments of one year, not to exceed a total contract period of ten years. The DISTRICT, acting through the Director, may give a written notice of intent to extend this Contract at least 30 days prior to the end of each term.

//
//

FIFTH: In no event shall the aggregate total amount of compensation paid to the CONTRACTOR exceed the amount of compensation authorized by the Board. Such aggregate total amount is the Maximum Contract Sum.

SIXTH: The CONTRACTOR understands and agrees that only the designated Public Works Contract Manager acting on behalf of the DISTRICT is authorized to request or order work under this Contract. The CONTRACTOR acknowledges that the designated Contract Manager is not authorized to request or order any work that would result in the CONTRACTOR earning an aggregate compensation in excess of this Contract's Maximum Contract Sum.

SEVENTH: The CONTRACTOR shall not perform or accept work requests from the Contract Manager or any other person that will cause the Maximum Contract Sum of this Contract to be exceeded. The CONTRACTOR shall monitor the balance of this Contract's Maximum Contract Sum. When the total of the CONTRACTOR'S paid invoices, invoices pending payment, invoices yet to be submitted, and ordered services reaches 75 percent of the Maximum Contract Sum, the CONTRACTOR shall immediately notify the Contract Manager in writing. The CONTRACTOR shall send written notification to the Contract Manager when this Contract is within six months from expiration of the term as provided for hereinabove.

EIGHTH: The CONTRACTOR shall have no claim against the DISTRICT for payment of any money or reimbursement of any kind whatsoever for any service provided by the CONTRACTOR after the expiration or other termination of this Contract. Should the CONTRACTOR receive any such payment, it shall immediately notify the DISTRICT and shall immediately repay all such funds to the DISTRICT. Payment by the DISTRICT for services rendered after expiration or other termination of this Contract shall not constitute a waiver of the DISTRICT'S right to recover such payment from the CONTRACTOR. This provision shall survive the expiration or other termination of this Contract.

NINTH: The DISTRICT may adjust the number of refuse units subject to Exhibit A, Section 2.A.2. The DISTRICT may adjust the initial monthly unit rate of compensation set forth in Form PW-2 (Schedule of Prices) subject to Exhibit A, Section 2.A.5, Petition for Rate Adjustment.

TENTH: In the event that terms and conditions, which may be listed in the CONTRACTOR'S bid, conflict with the DISTRICT'S specifications, requirements, and terms and conditions as reflected in this AGREEMENT, including, but not limited to, Exhibits A through L, inclusive, the DISTRICT'S provisions shall control and be binding.

ELEVENTH: The CONTRACTOR agrees in strict accordance with this Contract's specifications and conditions to meet the DISTRICT'S requirements.

TWELFTH: A faithful performance bond is required in a sum not less than 50 percent of the annual Contract price payable to the DISTRICT, executed by a corporate surety admitted to transact business as a surety insurer in the State of California. (or by the surety's agent with a notarized copy of power of attorney). The admitted surety and its

agent shall have sufficient bonding limitations to provide bonds in the amount required by this Contract. The bond shall be conditioned upon faithful performance of the terms and conditions of this Contract by CONTRACTOR in a manner that is satisfactory and acceptable to the DISTRICT. If necessary, the bond shall be renewed in a timely manner to provide for continuing liability in the above amount notwithstanding any payment or recovery thereon. Subject to DISTRICT conditions and approval, a Certificate of Deposit or an irrevocable Letter of Credit payable to the DISTRICT upon demand and in a sum not less than 50 percent of the annual Contract price may be acceptable. Failure to Maintain Coverage - Failure by the CONTRACTOR to maintain the required security shall constitute a material breach of contract upon which the DISTRICT may immediately terminate or suspend this Contract. The CONTRACTOR shall pay all security premiums, costs and incidentals.

THIRTEENTH: This Contract constitutes the entire agreement between the DISTRICT and the CONTRACTOR with respect to the subject matter of this Contract and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous agreements and understandings.

[illegible]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the DISTRICT has, by order of its Board of Supervisors, caused these presents to be subscribed by the Director of Public Works, and the CONTRACTOR has subscribed its name by and through its duly authorized officers, as of the day, month, and year first written above.

MALIBU GARBAGE DISPOSAL
DISTRICT

By _____
Director of Public Works

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.
County Counsel

By _____
Deputy

UNIVERSAL WASTE SYSTEMS, INC.

By _____
Its President

Type or Print Name

By _____
Its Secretary

Type or Print Name



DONALD L. WOLFE, Director

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

"To Enrich Lives Through Effective and Caring Service"

900 SOUTH FREMONT AVENUE
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91803-1331
Telephone: (626) 458-5100
<http://dpw.lacounty.gov>

ADDRESS ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:
P.O. BOX 1460
ALHAMBRA, CALIFORNIA 91802-1460

January 30, 2008

IN REPLY PLEASE
REFER TO FILE: EP-4

Malibu Library
23519 Civic Center Way
Malibu, CA 90265

Dear Librarian:

MALIBU GARBAGE DISPOSAL DISTRICT
DRAFT NEGATIVE DECLARATION

We prepared the enclosed draft Negative Declaration and Initial Study for our proposed project in the City of Malibu. Please assist us in the public review process by keeping the draft Negative Declaration and Initial Study on file for public review in your library.

Additional copies will be available for review at our Headquarters office in Alhambra at 900 South Fremont Avenue, Programs Development Division, 11th Floor. The documents should be made available at the earliest possible date and left for public review for 20 days from the receipt of this letter. Thank you for your cooperation.

If you have any questions, please contact Ms. Emiko Thompson in writing at the above address, by telephone at (626) 458-3521, or by email at ethomp@dpw.lacounty.gov.

Very truly yours,

DONALD L. WOLFE
Director of Public Works

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Fred M. Rubin".

FRED M. RUBIN
Assistant Deputy Director
Environmental Programs Division

ET:cw
P:\Sect2c - Libraries.doc

Enc.

bc: Programs Development (Burton)

**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

**NEGATIVE DECLARATION
FOR
MALIBU GARBAGE DISPOSAL DISTRICT**

I. Location and Brief Description

This project consists of adopting a contract between the County of Los Angeles and a private waste hauler for solid waste collection services in the Malibu Garbage Disposal District (GDD), which encompasses portions of the City of Malibu and portions of the County of Los Angeles unincorporated area in the vicinity of the City of Malibu. The services under the current contract entail separately collecting, transporting, and managing refuse, green waste, and recyclable materials, using the automated collection method, for all residences, businesses, and commercial/industrial establishments within the Malibu GDD. Under the existing contract refuse is collected two times per week with green waste and recyclable materials each collected separately one time per week. The services under the proposed contract, however, will consist of separate collection of refuse, green waste, and recyclable materials to be done on the same day throughout the district.

The Malibu GDD is one of seven GDDs through which solid waste collection service is provided to unincorporated County residents and businesses. The Malibu GDD was formed in 1949, and its formation and operation are consistent with the requirements of Section 49000 through 49195 of the Public Resources Code. Fees for the solid waste collection service are assessed to property owners through the annual property tax bill.

Pursuant to Sections 40057 and 40058 of the Public Resources Code, the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors has the sole authority to provide for solid waste collection and recycling services for unincorporated communities. Contractual services for the automated and separate collection of refuse, green waste, and recyclables in the Malibu GDD are consistent with the County's waste diversion efforts in accordance with Public Resources Code Section 40059 and Assembly Bill 939.

The City of Malibu has been consulted and kept informed of the contract specifications, conditions, terms, and award process.

Solid waste collection service in the Malibu GDD is currently conducted by a single contract waste hauling company. The current contract will expire on June 30, 2008. On October 4, 2007, the County Department of Public Works issued an Invitation for Bids to permitted waste haulers for the next Malibu GDD service contract. The next contract, which will commence on July 1, 2008, will also entail the automated and separate collection of refuse, green waste, and recyclables,

from residents and businesses in the Malibu GDD, performed by the waste hauling company that submits the lowest bid.

II. Mitigation Measures Included in the Project to Avoid Potentially Significant Effects

No significant effects are identified.

III. Finding of No Significant Effect

Based on the attached Initial Study and Attachment A - Discussion of Environmental Factors, it has been determined that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

INITIAL STUDY OF ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

1. **Project Title:** Malibu Garbage Disposal District (GDD).
2. **Lead Agency Name and Address:** County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works, Environmental Programs Division, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra, California 91803-1331.
3. **Contact Person and Phone Number:** Ms. Emiko Thompson at (626) 458-3521.
4. **Project Location:** Portions of the City of Malibu and portions of the Los Angeles County unincorporated areas in the vicinity of the City of Malibu.
5. **Project Sponsor's Name and Address:** County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra, California 91803-1331.
6. **General Plan Designation:** The Los Angeles County General Plan, Public Facilities.
7. **Zoning:** The project area is zoned for commercial highway, light/heavy agriculture, limited multiple residence, low and medium density residential, neighborhood business, non-urban, open space, public and semi-public facilities, institutional, residential planned development, resort and recreation, restricted business, restricted parking, single family residence, transportation corridor, two family residence, and limited commercial.
8. **Description of Project:** This project consists of adopting a contract between the County of Los Angeles and a private waste hauler for solid waste collection services in the Malibu GDD, which encompasses portions of the City of Malibu and portions of the Los Angeles County unincorporated area in the vicinity of the City of Malibu. The services under the current contract entail separately collecting, transporting, and managing refuse, green waste, and recyclable materials, using the automated collection method, for all residences, businesses, and commercial/industrial establishments. Under the existing contract refuse is collected two times per week with green waste and recyclable materials each collected separately one time per week. The services under the proposed contract will consist of separate collection of refuse, green waste, and recyclable materials to be done on the same day throughout the district.

The Malibu GDD is one of seven GDDs through which solid waste collection service is provided to the City of Malibu and unincorporated County residents and businesses. The Malibu GDD was formed in 1949, and its formation and operation are consistent with the requirements of Section 49000 through 49195 of the Public Resources Code.

Fees for the solid waste collection service are assessed to property owners through the annual property tax bill.

Solid waste collection service in the Malibu GDD is facilitated through a service contract with a private waste hauler. Contract requirements include provisions to separately collect refuse, green waste, and recyclables, in an effort to increase recycling, preserve landfill capacity, and prudently utilize environmental resources. These efforts are consistent with the State's waste reduction mandate (AB 939) to divert waste from disposal in landfills.

The City of Malibu has been consulted and kept informed of the contract specifications, conditions, terms, and award process.

The contract stipulates that the waste hauler providing solid waste collection services in the Malibu GDD operate in accordance with the laws governing the safe collection, transportation, recycling, and disposal of residential and commercial/industrial solid waste.

9. Surrounding Land Uses and Settings:

- A. Project Site** - The project is located within the Malibu GDD, which encompasses portions of the City of Malibu and portions of the Los Angeles County unincorporated area in the vicinity of the City of Malibu. The area consists of single family residences, multi-family dwelling units, businesses, and commercial and industrial establishments. There are approximately 2,500 parcels of real property within the Malibu GDD with an estimated population of 5,000.
- B. Surrounding Properties** - The Malibu GDD is surrounded by the Cities of Malibu, Los Angeles/Pacific Palisades, and Santa Monica, which consist of similar developments.

10. Other agencies whose approval is required (and permits needed): None.

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" or "Potentially Significant Unless Mitigated," as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

<input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics	<input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality
<input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Geology/Soils
<input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology/Water Quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Land Use/Planning
<input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Noise	<input type="checkbox"/> Population/Housing
<input type="checkbox"/> Public Services	<input type="checkbox"/> Recreation	<input type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic
<input type="checkbox"/> Utilities/Service Systems	<input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance	

DETERMINATION: (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:


- ☒ I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- ☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- ☐ I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- ☐ I find that the proposed project MAY have a potentially significant impact or potentially significant unless mitigated impact on the environment, but at least one effect a) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and b) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- ☐ I find that although the proposed project would have a significant effect on the environment because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

Emiko K. Thompson
Signature

1-28-08
Date

Emiko K. Thompson
Printed Name

LACDPW
For



Copyright © 1997 by the City of Malibu. All rights reserved. This map is for informational purposes only. It is not to be used for any other purpose. The City of Malibu is not responsible for any errors or omissions on this map. The City of Malibu is not responsible for any damages or losses resulting from the use of this map.

Malibu Garbage Disposal District

0.6 0 0.6 1.2 Mile

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

- 1 A brief explanation is required for all answers, except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2 All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3 "Potential Significant Impact" is appropriate if an effect is significant or potentially significant, or if the lead agency lacks information to make a finding of insignificance. If there are one or more "Potential Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is required.
- 4 "Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potential Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVIII, "Earlier Analysis," may be cross-referenced).
- 5 Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR or other California Environmental Quality Act process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). Earlier analyses are discussed in Section XVIII at the end of the checklist.
- 6 Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references, information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans and zoning ordinances). See the sample question below. A source list should be attached and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

MALIBU GARBAGE DISPOSAL DISTRICT

		Potential Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I. AESTHETICS - Would the project:					
a)	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				X
b)	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a State scenic highway?				X
c)	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				X
d)	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				X
II. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES - In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Department of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:					
a)	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to nonagricultural use?				X
b)	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use or a Williamson Act contract?				X
c)	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland to nonagricultural use?				X
III. AIR QUALITY - Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:					
a)	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				X
b)	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?			X	
c)	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable Federal or State ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for zone precursors)?				X
d)	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?			X	
e)	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?			X	

			Potential Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - Would the project:						
	a)	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				X
	b)	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				X
	c)	Have a substantial adverse effect on Federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				X
	d)	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident, migratory fish, or wildlife species; or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors; or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				X
	e)	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				X
	f)	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan; Natural Community Conservation Plan; or other approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation plan?				X
V. CULTURAL RESOURCES - Would the project:						
	a)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?				X
	b)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?				X
	c)	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				X
	d)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				X
VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS - Would the project:						
	a)	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
	i)	Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a know fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				X

			Potential Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	ii)	Strong seismic ground shaking?				X
	iii)	Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?				X
	iv)	Landslides?				X
	b)	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				X
	c)	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse?				X
	d)	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				X
	e)	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				X
VII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS - Would the project:						
	a)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				X
	b)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?			X	
	c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?			X	
	d)	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code, Section 65962.5, and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				X
	e)	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				X
	f)	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				X
	g)	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				X
	h)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				X

			Potential Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY - Would the project:						
a)	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				X	
b)	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of preexisting nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?					X
c)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?					X
d)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?					X
e)	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?					X
f)	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?					X
g)	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?					X
h)	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?					X
i)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?					X
j)	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?					X
IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:						
a)	Physically divide an established community?					X
b)	Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of any agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to, the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?					X
c)	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?					X

		Potential Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
X. MINERAL RESOURCES - Would the project:					
	a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the State?				X
	b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan?				X
XI. NOISE - Would the project result in:					
	a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or ordinance or applicable standards of other agencies?			X	
	b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			X	
	c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				X
	d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				X
	e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				X
	f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				X
XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:					
	a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (e.g., by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (e.g., through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				X
	b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				X
	c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				X
XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES					
	a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
	Fire protection?				X

			Potential Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		Police protection?				X
		Schools?				X
		Parks?				X
		Other public facilities?				X
XIV. RECREATION-						
	a)	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				X
	b)	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				X
XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC - Would the project:						
	a)	Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?				X
	b)	Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the County Congestion Management Agency for designated roads or highways?				X
	c)	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				X
	d)	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				X
	e)	Result in inadequate emergency access?				X
	f)	Result in inadequate parking capacity?				X
	g)	Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?				X
XVI. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS - Would the project:						
	a)	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				X
	b)	Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				X
	c)	Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				X

		Potential Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d)	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				X
e)	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				X
f)	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				X
g)	Comply with Federal, State, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				X
XVII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE					
a)	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				X
b)	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)				X
c)	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				X
XVIII. DISCUSSION OF WAYS TO MITIGATE SIGNIFICANCE EFFECTS					
Section 15041 (a) of the State California Environmental Quality Act guidelines states that a lead agency for a project has authority to require changes in any or all activities involved in the project in order to lessen or avoid significant effects on the environment. No significant effects have been identified.					

ATTACHMENT B

DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

MALIBU GARBAGE DISPOSAL DISTRICT

I. AESTHETICS - Would the project:

a) *Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?*

No Impact. The proposed project consists of adopting a service contract between the County of Los Angeles and a private waste hauler for the automated collection, transportation, and management of refuse, green waste, and recyclable material from residences, businesses and commercial establishments within the Malibu Garbage Disposal District (GDD). The project would not have a substantial adverse effect on any scenic vistas. No construction is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

b) *Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a State scenic highway?*

No Impact. The proposed project would not substantially damage any scenic resources. No construction is proposed and waste collection activities would continue to take place in residential, business, and commercial locations and streets.

c) *Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?*

No Impact. The proposed project would not degrade nor change the visual quality throughout the Malibu GDD and surrounding areas. No construction is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue in the same residential, business, and commercial locations and streets in a manner that is similar to current practices. The quantities of solid waste collected would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

d) *Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?*

No Impact. The proposed project would not create any new sources of substantial light or glare. No construction is proposed and waste collection activities at residences, businesses, and commercial establishments will only take place between 7 a.m. and 6 p.m. Monday through Friday.

II. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES - Would the project:

- a) ***Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to nonagricultural use?***

No impact. The proposed project is located along the California coastline in the city of Malibu. The surroundings consist of developed commercial, residential, beach, open space, and agricultural areas. The project location is not used for agricultural purposes or as farmland and would not change any land uses. Collection activities will occur on established paved surfaces. Thus, the project will have no impact on farmlands.

- b) ***Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use or a Williamson Act contract?***

No impact. The proposed project would not conflict with any zoning for agricultural use and will have no impact. Collection activities will occur on established paved surfaces. The only Williamson Act parcels in Los Angeles County are on Catalina Island.

- c) ***Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to nonagricultural use?***

No impact. No construction or change in use is proposed and the proposed project would not involve any changes in the existing environment that could result in the conversion of Farmland to nonagricultural use.

III. AIR QUALITY - Would the project:

- a) ***Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?***

No Impact. Public Works currently complies with dust control measures enforced by the South Coast Air Quality Management District. The proposed project will not conflict with the current implementation of the applicable air quality plan.

Solid waste collection service in the Malibu GDD is facilitated through a service contract with a private waste hauler. The contract requires the waste hauler to comply with all applicable air pollution control laws, such as diesel particulate matter control measures applicable to solid waste collection vehicles as set forth in Article 4 of Chapter 1, Division 3, Title 13, California Code of Regulations.

b) *Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?*

Less than significant impact. The proposed project would not violate any air quality standards or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. The contract requires the waste hauler to comply with all applicable air pollution control laws, such as diesel particulate matter control measures applicable to solid waste collection vehicles as set forth in Article 4 of Chapter 1, Division 3, Title 13, California Code of Regulations. The proposed project would produce greenhouse gases during solid waste collection activities; however, the collection activities would adhere to all applicable air quality plans of the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). The impacts on global warming resulting from the solid waste collection associated with this project are accordingly considered to be less than significant.

c) *Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable Federal or State ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?*

No impact. The emissions generated as a result of the proposed project will occur only during collection activities. Because the proposed activities in the new contract are in a similar capacity to the existing contract, these emissions are not expected to result in a cumulative net increase in pollutants. Project specifications will require the contractor to comply with Federal and State emission control regulations. Therefore, the proposed collection activities will not lead to emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors.

d) *Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?*

Less than significant impact. Though the contract requires the waste hauler to comply with all applicable air pollution control laws, such as diesel particulate matter control measures applicable to solid waste collection vehicles as set forth in Article 4 of Chapter 1, Division 3, Title 13, California Code of Regulations, sensitive receptors in the area may be subjected to dust and solid waste collection vehicle emissions during the project activities. The impact is considered to be less than significant since precautions will be taken to prevent exposure of pollutants.

- e) **Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?**

Less than significant impact. The proposed project would not create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people. Solid waste would continue to be collected by automated collection vehicles and taken to a municipal solid waste management facility in the region. Prior to collection, solid waste discards will be stored in appropriately designed disposal carts and containers with stable bases and tight-fitting lids to discourage spillage and scavenging. The contractor would also be required to clean up any spills and leaks made during collection activities.

IV. **BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - Would the project:**

- a) ***Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?***

No impact. The proposed project would not have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. No construction is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

- b) ***Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?***

No impact. The proposed project would not have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. No construction is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

- c) ***Have a substantial adverse effect on Federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?***

No impact. The proposed project would not have a substantial adverse effect on any federally protected wetlands through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means. No construction or change in use is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue to occur at the same residential locations and streets. Waste collection activities would not take place in or remove, fill, or hydrologically interrupt any marshes, vernal pools or other federally protected wetlands.

- d) ***Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?***

No impact. The proposed project would not substantially interfere with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites. No construction is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

- e) ***Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?***

No impact. The proposed project would not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources. No construction is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

- f) ***Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?***

No impact. The proposed project would not conflict with the provisions of any applicable Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other applicable local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan. No construction is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue

at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES - Would the project:

- a) *Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5?***

No impact. The proposed project would not cause a significant adverse change in the significance of a historical resource. No physical demolition, destruction, relocation, or alteration of any historical resource or its immediate surroundings is proposed.

- b-d) *Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section 15064.5; directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature; or disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?***

No impact. The proposed project would not cause a significant adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource, directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature, or disturb any human remains. No construction or demolition is proposed, all activities would occur above ground, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS - Would the project:

- a) *Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:***
- i) *Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.***
 - ii) *Strong seismic ground shaking?***
 - iii) *Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?***
 - iv) *Landslides?***

No impact. The proposed project would not expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects involving rupture of a known earthquake fault, strong seismic ground shaking, seismic-related ground failure, or landslides. No construction or demolition is proposed, all activities would occur above ground, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

b) *Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?*

No impact. The proposed project would not result in substantial soil erosion or loss of topsoil. No construction or demolition is proposed, all activities would occur above ground, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

c) *Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on-or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?*

No impact. The proposed project would not result in on-or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse due to soil instability. No construction or demolition is proposed, all activities would occur above ground, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

d) *Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?*

No impact. The proposed project would not create substantial risks to life or property due to expansive soil. No construction or demolition is proposed, all activities would occur above ground, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

- e) ***Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?***

No impact. The proposed project would not generate waste water or involve the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems.

VII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS - Would the project:

- a) ***Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?***

No impact. The proposed project would not involve the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials. The contract specifically excludes collection of any hazardous materials by the waste hauler from residential, business, and commercial properties within the Malibu GDD. Materials collected would only include refuse, recyclable materials, green waste, and bulky items such as furniture. The discards collected from the Malibu GDD would be transported to permitted solid waste management facilities in the region.

- b-c) ***Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment or emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous materials, substances or wastes within one quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?***

Less than significant impact. Combustion engine fluids from the collection equipment are potentially hazardous substances. Necessary precautions will be taken to prevent the spillage of any hazardous substances that may affect the public or the environment at the collection site. It is unlikely that an explosion, emission, or release of hazardous or acutely hazardous substances will occur as a result of the proposed project. The contract specifically excludes collection of any hazardous materials by the waste hauler from residential, business, and commercial properties within the Malibu GDD. Materials collected would only include refuse, recyclable materials, green waste, and bulky items such as furniture. The discards collected from the Malibu GDD would be transported to permitted solid waste management facilities in the region. The proposed project is not anticipated to result in hazardous emissions or a hazardous substance spillage, thus the project impact on the public or environment is considered to be less than significant.

- d) ***Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code, Section 65962.5 and, as***

a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?

No impact. The proposed Malibu GDD boundaries do not encompass sites which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites. No construction or demolition is proposed, all activities would occur above ground, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD.

- e) ***For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?***

No impact. The Malibu GDD is not located within the planning boundaries of the adopted Los Angeles County Airport Land Use Plan, and the project would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area. Waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD.

- f) ***For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?***

No impact. The Malibu GDD is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip, and the proposed project would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area. Waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD.

- g) ***Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?***

No impact. The proposed project would not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. Waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

In addition, the contract obligates the waste hauler to provide maps showing their collection routes, and the County may change the collection route and schedule to accommodate any emergency evacuation plans or routes.

- h) *Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?***

No impact. Though the Malibu and Santa Monica Mountain areas are susceptible to wildland fires, no construction or demolition is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY - Would the project:

- a) *Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?***

Less than significant impact. The proposed project would not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. No construction or demolition is proposed, all activities would occur above ground, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD. Solid waste would continue to be collected by automated collection vehicles and taken to a municipal solid waste management facility in the region. Prior to collection, solid waste discards will be stored in appropriately designed disposal carts and containers with stable bases and tight-fitting lids to prevent spillage and scavenging. The contractor would also be required to clean up any spills and leaks made during collection activities.

- b) *Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?***

No impact. The proposed project would not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level, since the project would not involve the use of any substantial amounts of water.

- c) *Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a***

manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?

No impact. The proposed project would not result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site since the project would not include activities that would change existing drainage patterns in the Malibu GDD, transport route areas or surrounding areas.

- d) *Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?***

No impact. The proposed project would not alter the existing drainage pattern in the Malibu GDD or increase the rate of runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site.

- e) *Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?***

No impact. The proposed project would not create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems in the Malibu GDD or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff. No construction or demolition is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD. Solid waste would continue to be collected by automated collection vehicles and taken to a municipal solid waste management facility in the region. Prior to collection, solid waste discards will be stored in appropriately designed disposal carts and containers with stable bases and tight-fitting lids to prevent spillage and scavenging. The contractor would also be required to clean up any spills and leaks made during collection activities.

- f) *Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?***

No impact. The proposed project would not otherwise substantially degrade water quality in the Malibu GDD. No construction or demolition is proposed, all activities would occur above ground, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. Prior to collection, solid waste discards will be stored in appropriately designed disposal carts and containers with stable bases and tight-fitting lids to prevent spillage and scavenging. The contractor would also be required to clean up any spills and leaks made during collection activities.

- g) ***Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?***

No impact. The proposed project does not involve any construction or placement of any housing.

- h) ***Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?***

No impact. The proposed project does not involve any construction or the placement of any structures that would impede or redirect flood flows.

- i) ***Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?***

No impact. The proposed project would not include activities that could expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving flooding.

- j) ***Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?***

No impact. The proposed project would not include activities that could expose people or structures to inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow.

IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project:

- a) ***Physically divide an established community?***

No impact. The proposed project would not physically divide an established community. No construction or demolition is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD.

- b) ***Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?***

No impact. The proposed project would not conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect. No construction or change in use is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar

to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

c) *Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?*

No impact. The proposed project would not conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan. No construction or change in use is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

X. MINERAL RESOURCES - Would the project:

a) *Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?*

No impact. The proposed project would not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region or the residents of the state.

b) *Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?*

No impact. The proposed project would not result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan.

XI. NOISE - Would the project result in:

a) *Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?*

Less than significant impact. The proposed project would not expose persons to or generate noise levels in excess of standards established in the County general plan, the County noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies. No construction or change in use is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to

those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD. Furthermore, collection activities would take place only between 7 a.m. and 6 p.m. Monday through Friday.

b) *Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?*

Less than significant impact. The proposed project would not expose persons to or generate excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels. No construction or change in use is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. Collection activities would take place only between 7 a.m. and 6 p.m. Monday through Friday, and any noise generated from the collection vehicles would be transitory.

c-d) *A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project or a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?*

No impact. The proposed project would not result in a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project or a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project. Waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. Collection activities would take place only between 7 a.m. and 6 p.m. Monday through Friday, and any noise generated from the collection vehicles would be transitory.

e-f) *For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels or for a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?*

No impact. The Malibu GDD and the areas which it encompasses are not within the planning boundaries of the adopted Los Angeles County Airport Land Use Plan or within the vicinity of any known private airstrips.

XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:

a) *Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?*

No impact. The proposed project would not induce substantial population growth, either directly or indirectly. No construction or change in use is proposed.

- b-c) *Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere, or displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?***

No impact. The proposed project would not displace existing housing or people. No construction, demolition, or change in use is proposed.

XIII. PUBLIC SERVICE - Would the project:

- a) *Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services: Fire protection, police protection, schools, parks, other public facilities?***

No impact. The proposed project would not result in the provision of or need for any new or physically altered fire protection, police protection, school, park, or other public facilities. No construction or change in use is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

XIV. RECREATION - Would the project:

- a) *Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?***

No impact. The proposed project would not increase the use of existing parks or other recreational facilities. Waste collection activities would continue to take place only at residential and commercial locations and streets.

- b) ***Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?***

No impact. The proposed project does not include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of any recreational facilities.

XV. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC - Would the project:

- a) ***Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?***

No impact. The proposed project would not result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections. Waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

- b) ***Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the County Congestion Management Agency for designated roads or highways?***

No impact. The proposed project would not exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the County Congestion Management Agency for designated roads or highways. The County's Congestion Management Program (CMP) applies to projects that have the potential to generate a minimum of 50 vehicle trips through a CMP intersection. The proposed project does not have the potential to generate 50 or more trips through a CMP intersection.

- c) ***Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?***

No impact. The proposed project would not result in a change in air traffic patterns.

- d) ***Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?***

No impact. The proposed project would not substantially increase hazards due to a design feature or incompatible uses. Waste collection activities

would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

e) *Result in inadequate emergency access?*

No impact. The proposed project would not result in inadequate emergency access since no changes in emergency access would occur as a result of the project. Waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

In addition, the contract obligates the waste hauler to provide maps showing their collection routes, and the County may change the collection route and schedule to accommodate any emergency evacuation plans or routes.

f) *Result in inadequate parking capacity?*

No impact. The proposed project would not result in inadequate parking capacity. The project would not increase the need for parking nor result in any changes to existing parking. Waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

g) *Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?*

No impact. The proposed project would not conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation.

XVI. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS - Would the project:

a) *Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?*

No impact. The proposed project would not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board since the project would not result in contamination of or increase in discharge of wastewater. No construction is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and

streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

- b) *Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?***

No impact. The proposed project would not require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities since the project would not increase water usage or discharge of wastewater.

- c) *Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?***

No impact. The proposed project would not result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities. The proposed project would not increase any storm water runoff that would affect existing or planned storm water drainage systems. No construction is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

- d) *Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?***

No impact. The proposed project would not necessitate any water supplies to serve the project from any existing entitlements and resources, nor necessitate any new or expanded entitlements.

- e) *Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?***

No impact. The proposed project would not result in any capacity determinations made by any wastewater treatment provider since the project would not increase any waste water discharges.

- f-g) *Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs and comply with***

Federal, State, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?

No impact. The proposed project would be served by landfills with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs and comply with Federal, State, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste. The project contract requires compliance with all applicable laws and regulations pertaining to solid waste collection, and provides for the waste hauler to submit documentation for the utilization of approved solid waste facilities. Additionally, the project would incorporate service activities and components designed to promote recycling, thereby decreasing the quantities of solid waste disposal into landfills.

XVII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE -

- a) ***Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?***

No impact. The proposed project would not degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory.

- b) ***Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects?)***

No impact. The proposed project would not have impacts that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.

- c) ***Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?***

No impact. The proposed project would not have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly. No construction is proposed, and waste collection activities would continue at residential, business, and commercial properties and streets in a manner that is similar to those currently provided in the Malibu

GDD. The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area, and one private waste hauler will continue to facilitate waste collection services within the Malibu GDD.

P:\pdpub\EP&A\EU\Projects\Malibu Garbage Disposal District (EPD)\1e - Attach A - Discussion.doc

**NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT
A NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

MALIBU GARBAGE DISPOSAL DISTRICT

The County of Los Angeles is proposing a project that consists of adopting a contract between the County of Los Angeles and a private waste hauler for solid waste collection services in the Malibu Garbage Disposal District (GDD), which encompasses portions of the City of Malibu and portions of the County of Los Angeles unincorporated area in the vicinity of the City of Malibu. The services under the current contract entail separately collecting, transporting, and managing refuse, green waste, and recyclable materials, using the automated collection method, for all residences, businesses, and commercial/industrial establishments within the Malibu GDD. Under the existing contract refuse is collected two times per week with green waste and recyclable materials each collected separately one time per week. The services under the proposed contract, however, will consist of separate collection of refuse, green waste, and recyclable materials to be done on the same day throughout the district.

The Malibu GDD is one of seven GDDs through which solid waste collection service is provided to unincorporated County residents and businesses. The Malibu GDD was formed in 1949, and its formation and operation are consistent with the requirements of Section 49000 through 49195 of the Public Resources Code. Fees for the solid waste collection service are assessed to property owners through the annual property tax bill.

The County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works has prepared a Negative Declaration to assess the proposed project impacts to the environment and the community. No significant negative effects were identified. The Negative Declaration is being circulated for a 20-day public review period. The review period will end February 27, 2008.

A copy of the document is available for public review at the following locations:

Malibu Library
23519 Civic Center Way
Malibu, CA 90265

Department of Public Works
Programs Development Division, 11th Floor
900 S. Fremont Avenue
Alhambra, CA 91803-1331

Interested parties may submit their comments to the Public Works:

Ms. Emiko Thompson
County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works
Environmental Programs Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA 91802-1460
ethomp@dpw.lacounty.gov


The Final Negative Declaration will incorporate responses to comments received during the public review period and will be considered by the Board of Supervisors for approval.

Questions regarding this notice should be directed to Ms. Emiko Thompson of our Programs Development Division at (626) 458-3521, Monday through Thursday, between 7:15 a.m. and 6 p.m.

Si necesita asistencia con la traducción a Español, por favor comuníquese con el representante del departamento de Obras Públicas del Condado de Los Angeles, Srta. Flor Mota (626) 458-3516.

Upon 72 hours notice, the Department can provide program information and publications in alternate formats or make other accommodations for people with disabilities. In addition, program documents are available at our main office in Alhambra (900 South Fremont Avenue), which is accessible to individuals with disabilities. To request accommodations ONLY or for more Americans with Disabilities Act information, please contact our Departmental Americans with Disabilities Act Coordinator at (626) 458-4081 or TDD (626) 282-7829, Monday through Thursday, from 7 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

P:\pdpub\EP&A\EU\Projects\Malibu Garbage Disposal District (EPD)\4a-NOI Adopt ND.doc



JONATHAN E. FIELDING, M.D., M.P.H.
Director and Health Officer

JONATHAN E. FREEDMAN
Acting Chief Deputy

ANGELO J. BELLOMO, R.E.H.S.
Director of Environmental Health

ALFONSO MEDINA, R.E.H.S.
Director of Environmental Protection Bureau

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM/ L.A. COUNTY LEA
IRIS AGUIRRE, CHIEF, R.E.H.S.
5050 Commerce Drive
Baldwin Park, California 91706
TEL (626) 430-5540 • FAX (626) 813-4839

www.lapublichealth.org



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Gloria Molina
First District
Yvonne B. Burke
Second District
Zev Yaroslavsky
Third District
Don Knabe
Fourth District
Michael D. Antonovich
Fifth District

February 19, 2008

Emiko Thompson
County of Los Angeles
Department of Public Works
Environmental Program Division
P.O. Box 1460
Alhambra, CA. 91802-1460

RE: MALIBU GARBAGE DISPOSAL DISTRICT DRAFT NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Dear Ms. Thompson:

This is in response to your request for comments regarding the Draft Negative Declaration and Initial Study (IS) for the proposed Malibu Garbage Disposal District project. Environmental Health has reviewed the IS and offers the following comments:


1-1 With regards to the impact of noise, the proposed project would have to comply with Section 12.08.520, Subsections A, B, C and D of the LA County Noise Control Code when operating in unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County.

1-2 With regards to solid waste collection, a change in frequency of pick-up from twice to once per week for general garbage may result in several problems that are not addressed in the IS. The IS states that "The quantities of solid waste would be comparable to those currently collected in the area," but does not address the capacity of the waste generators to store the waste for additional amount of time. For example, a multiple family dwelling unit or hotel that currently fills a nine (9) cubic yard container twice per week will not be able to operate with a pick-up of once per week. This may result in trash bins overflowing, which creates a public health nuisance and contributes to rodent activity. Overflowing trash may also flow into storm drains and pollute our oceans and beaches.

Mike Thompson
February 19, 2008
Page 2

If you should have any questions or need additional information, please let me know.

Very truly yours



Chris Aguirre, R.F.H.S.
Chief, Solid Waste Management Program

c: Alfonso Medina
Cole Landowski
Scott Abbott

ATTACHMENT B

COMMENTS AND RESPONSES TO COMMENTS

RECEIVED ON INITIAL STUDY AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Presented below are responses to comments received during circulation for the final Initial Study/Negative Declaration regarding the proposed Malibu Garbage Disposal District (GDD) project. Responses are provided to all comments that raise environmental issues, as required by the State of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. A copy of a letter dated February 19, 2008 from the County of Los Angeles Department of Public Health is included in the following pages.

Response to a letter from the County of Los Angeles Department of Public Health

- 1-1 The proposed project will comply with Section 12.08.520, Subsections A, B, C, and D of the LA County Noise Control Code when operating in the unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County.
- 1-2 While the collection frequency is being changed from twice to once per week for single family residences, the proposed project will continue to provide for twice per week collections for hotels and motels. The proposed project will also provide twice per week collections for multifamily residences, businesses, and commercial and industrial establishments having property use classifications of five or more refuse units as set forth in Table 1, codified in Section 20.90.450 of the Los Angeles County Code. Adjacent parcels with a combined total of five or more refuse units will also have the option to utilize shared dumpster(s) with up to twice per week collections. Commercial food establishments will continue to be provided with daily collections except for Sundays and holidays. Container capacity allotments will continue to be provided in proportion to the codified property use classifications, and any additional residential containers will be made available for a one-time nominal fee upon request by the residents.

GH:
CO

ATTACHMENT C

Award information has not been added at this time.

Bid Information

Bid Number : PW-ASD 694
Bid Title : INVITATION FOR BIDS FOR MALIBU GARBAGE DISPOSAL DISTRICT (2008-GDD01)
Bid Type : Service
Department : Public Works
Commodity : GARBAGE/TRASH REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL SERVICE
Open Date : 10/4/2007
Closing Date : 10/22/2007 9:00 AM
Notice of Intent to Award : [View Detail](#)
Bid Amount : \$ 850,000
Bid Download : Not Available
Bid Description : PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Public Works invites bids for a contract for the garbage disposal services in the Malibu Garbage Disposal District (2008-GDD01). The annual cost of this service is estimated to be \$850,000.

Basic Minimum Requirements: Bidders must meet all minimum requirements set forth in the Invitation for Bids (IFB) document, including, but not limited to, at the time of bid submission, at least three years' experience collecting and managing refuse, recyclable materials, and green waste from single-family and multifamily residences, businesses, commercial establishments, and industrial establishments. Bidders must also have the necessary equipment to perform the work and an annual gross business income shall be at least three times the bid amount. Additional requirements are contained in the IFB.

If not enclosed with this letter, the IFB with contract specifications, forms, and instructions for preparing and submitting bids may be requested by accessing this link at <ftp://dpwftp.co.la.ca.us/solicitationdocuments/MalibuGDD.pdf> or from Ms. Lorena Calderon at (626) 458-4169, Monday through Thursday, 7 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

A Bidders' Conference will be held on Monday, October 22, 2007, at 9 a.m. at Public Works Headquarters, 900 South Fremont Avenue, Alhambra, California 91803, in Conference Room B. ATTENDANCE BY THE BIDDER OR AN AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE IS MANDATORY. Public Works will reject bids from those whose attendance cannot be verified. Attendees should be prepared to ask questions at that time about the specifications, bid requirements, and contract terms. After the conference, it may be impossible to respond to further requests for information. The deadline to submit bids is Tuesday, November 13, 2007, at 5:30 p.m. Sealed bids must be submitted to the Public Works Cashier, located on the Mezzanine Floor at the above-listed address.

Please direct your questions to Ms. Calderon at the number above.

Contact Name : Lorena Calderon
Contact Phone# : (626) 458-4169
Contact Email : lcalderon@dpw.lacounty.gov
Last Changed On : 10/5/2007 2:15:09 PM

[Back to Last Window](#)

[Back to Award Main](#)